Department of Labor and Industry **Board of Personnel Appeals** PO Box 201503 Helena, MT 59620-1503 (406) 444-0032 

## STATE OF MONTANA BEFORE THE BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

IN THE MATTER OF UNIT CLARIFICATION PETITION NO. 5-2014

DAWSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE, Petitioner,	) ) ) RECOMMENDED ORDER )
-VS-	
DAWSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE UNIFIED, MEA-MFT, Respondent.	

## I. BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

On February 24, 2014, Dawson Community College (DCC) filed a request for unit clarification with the Board of Personnel Appeals. The petition requested clarification on two positions, the human resource director position and the executive administrative assistant to the president of DCC. Matthew J Cuffe, attorney at law, represents Dawson Community College. Dawson Community College Unified, MEA-MFT (DCCU) is represented in this matter by Maggie Copeland, MEA-MFT east office field consultant.

On February 25, 2014, DCCU, through Ms. Copeland, responded to the petition opposing the same on the basis that the parties were engaged in negotiations for a successor contract. As evidence of this Ms. Copeland provided the Board a series if email exchanges between herself and Mike Simon, DCC President. This string of emails commenced on January 31, 2014, with a request from Ms. Copeland to open the contract for bargaining and subsequent exchanges by President Simon acknowledging the request to open offering dates to meet. A first bargaining session is, in fact, scheduled for early March 2014.

ARM 24.26.630 (1) provides that a unit clarification petition can be filed only if certain conditions are met and/or the parties mutually agree to waive the requirements of the administrative rule. ARM 24.26.630 (1) (b) specifically provides:

 "the parties to the agreement are neither engaged in negotiations nor within 120 days of the expiration date of the agreement, unless there is mutual agreement by the parties to permit the petition"

In short, with the opening of the contract, acknowledgement of the same, and agreement to meet to bargain a successor contract the parties have engaged in negotiations. Further, DCCU is not waiving its right to object to the petition nor has DCCU agreed to waive the requirements of the administrative rule.

ARM 24. 26.630 (4) provides:

"Should an agent of the board determine that the petition is defective in time or form as set forth in ARM 24.26.630(1) and (2), the agent may issue a recommended order that the petition be dismissed. The recommended order is subject to review by the board provided an appeal is timely filed within 10 business days of the date the recommended order is mailed."

Given that the parties have engaged in bargaining, the petition is defective in time.

## **II. RECOMMENDED ORDER**

It is recommended that the Unit Clarification 5-2014 be dismissed.

DATED this 11th day of March 2014.

**BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS** 

John Andrew Board Agent

## NOTICE:

Pursuant to ARM 24.26.630(4) this Recommended Order will become the Final Order of the Board of Personnel Appeals unless appealed within 10 business days of the date this Recommended Order is mailed.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I, \_\_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this document was mailed to the following on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of March 2014, postage paid and addressed as follows: MATTHEW CUFFE **WORDEN THANE** ATTORNEYS AT LAW PO BOX 4747 MISSOULA MT 59806 4747 MAGGIE COPELAND MEA MFT FIELD CONSULTANT PO BOX 1008 GLENDIVE MT 59330 **ERIK BURKE MEA MFT** 1232 EAST SIXTH HELENA MT 59601